arXiv:1207.6165v1 [math.PR] 26 Jul 2012

Anticipated backward doubly stochastic differential equations*

Xiaoming Xu[†]
School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210046, China

Abstract

In this paper, we deal with a new type of differential equations called anticipated backward doubly stochastic differential equations (anticipated BDSDEs). The coefficients of these BDSDEs depend on the future value of the solution (Y, Z). We obtain the existence and uniqueness theorem and a comparison theorem for the solutions of these equations.

Keywords: anticipated backward doubly stochastic differential equation, existence and uniqueness theorem, comparison theorem

1 Introduction

Backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE) was considered the general form the first time by Pardoux-Peng [10] in 1990. In the last twenty years, the theory of BSDEs has been studied with great interest due to its applications in the pricing/hedging problem (see e.g. [4, 5]), in the stochastic control and game theory (see e.g. [5, 6]), and in the theory of partial differential equations (see e.g. [2, 3, 11]).

In order to give a probabilistic representation for a class of quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs), Pardoux-Peng [12] first studied the backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs) of the general form

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \qquad t \in [0, T], \tag{1}$$

---

*This work is supported by the Mathematical Tianyuan Foundation of China (Grant No. 11126050) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (Grant No. 20113207120002).

[†] E-mail: xmxu@njnu.edu.cn

where the integral with respect to $\{B_t\}$ is a "backward Itô integral", and the integral with respect to $\{W_t\}$ is a standard forward integral. Note that these two types of integrals are particular cases of the Itô-Skorohod integral, see Nualart-Pardoux [9]. Pardoux-Peng [12] proved that under Lipschitz condition on the coefficients, BDSDE (1) has a unique solution. Since then, the theory of BDSDEs has been developed rapidly by many researchers. Bally-Matoussi [1] gave the probabilistic representation of the solutions in Sobolev space of semilinear SPDEs in terms of BDSDEs. Matoussi-Scheutzow [8] studied BDSDEs and their applications in SPDEs. Shi et al. [14] proved a comparison theorem for BDSDEs with Lipschitz condition on the coefficients. Lin [7] obtained a generalized comparison theorem and a generalized existence theorem of BDSDEs.

On the other hand, recently, Peng-Yang [13] (see also [16]) introduced the socalled anticipated BSDEs (ABSDEs) of the following form:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -dY_t &=& f(t, Y_t, Z_t, Y_{t+\delta(t)}, Z_{t+\zeta(t)})dt - Z_t dW_t, & t \in [0,T]; \\ Y_t &=& \xi_t, & t \in [T, T+K]; \\ Z_t &=& \eta_t, & t \in [T, T+K], \end{array}\right.$$

where $\delta(\cdot) : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^+ \backslash \{0\}$ and $\zeta(\cdot) : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^+ \backslash \{0\}$ are continuous functions satisfying

(**a1**) there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that for each $t \in [0,T]$,

$$t + \delta(t) \leq T + K, \quad t + \zeta(t) \leq T + K;$$

(**a2**) there exists a constant $M \geq 0$ such that for each $t \in [0,T]$ and each nonnegative integrable function $g(\cdot)$,

$$\int_t^T g(s + \delta(s))ds \leq M \int_t^{T+K} g(s)ds, \quad \int_t^T g(s + \zeta(s))ds \leq M \int_t^{T+K} g(s)ds.$$

Peng-Yang [13] proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the above equation, and studied the duality between anticipated BSDEs and delayed SDEs.

In this paper, we are interested in the following BDSDEs with coefficients depending on the future value of the solution (Y, Z):

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -dY_t &=& f(t, Y_t, Z_t, Y_{t+\delta(t)}, Z_{t+\zeta(t)})dt & \\ && +g(t, Y_t, Z_t, Y_{t+\delta(t)}, Z_{t+\zeta(t)})d\overleftarrow{B}_t - Z_t dW_t, & t \in [0,T]; \\ Y_t &=& \xi_t, & t \in [T, T+K]; \\ Z_t &=& \eta_t, & t \in [T, T+K], \end{array}\right. \tag{2}$$

where $\delta > 0$ and $\zeta > 0$ satisfy $(a1)$-$(a2)$. We prove that under proper assumptions, the solution of the above anticipated BDSDE (ABDSDE) exists uniquely.

Besides, a comparison theorem is established for the 1-dimensional anticipated BDSDEs. It may be mentioned here that, to deal with (2), the most important thing for us is to establish the similar conclusions as in [12] and [14] for BDSDE (1) with ξ belonging to a larger space.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we make some preliminaries. In Section 3, we mainly study the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of anticipated BDSDEs, and in Section 4, a comparison result is given. Finally in Section 5, the conclusion and future work are presented.

2 Preliminaries

Let $T > 0$ be fixed throughout this paper. Let $\{W_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ and $\{B_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ be two mutually independent standard Brownian motion processes, with values respectively in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{R}^l$, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the class of $P-$null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. We define

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}^W_{0,t} \vee \mathcal{F}^B_{t,T},\ t \in [0, T];\quad \mathcal{G}_s := \mathcal{F}^W_{0,s} \vee \mathcal{F}^B_{s,T+K},\ s \in [0, T + K],$$

where for any processes $\{\varphi_t\}$, $\mathcal{F}^\varphi_{s,t} = \sigma\{\varphi_r - \varphi_s, s \leq r \leq t\} \vee \mathcal{N}$. We will use the following notations:

- $L^2(\mathcal{G}_T; \mathbb{R}^m) := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \xi$ is an $\mathcal{G}_T$-measurable random variable such that $E|\xi|^2 < +\infty\}$;
- $L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) := \{\varphi : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^m \mid \varphi$ is an $\mathcal{G}_t$-progressively measurable process such that $E \int_0^T |\varphi_t|^2 dt < +\infty\}$;
- $S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) := \{\varphi : \Omega\times[0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^m \mid \varphi$ is a continuous and $\mathcal{G}_t$-progressively measurable process such that $E[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |\varphi_t|^2] < +\infty\}$.

Remark 2.1 *It should be mentioned here that, the existing result about BDSDEs are established almost under the condition that the terminal value ξ is $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable (see [12], [14], etc.). In this paper, we will first treat the case when ξ is $\mathcal{G}_T$-measurable.*

For each $t \in [0, T]$, let

$$f(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : \Omega\times[0, T]\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}^m)\times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d}) \to L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^m),$$

$$g(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : \Omega\times[0, T]\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}\times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}^m)\times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d}) \to L^2(\mathcal{G}_t; \mathbb{R}^{m\times l}).$$

We make the following hypotheses:

(**H1**) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $r, \bar{r} \in [t, T+K]$, (t, y, z, θ, ϕ), $(t, y', z', \theta', \phi') \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times d} \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$,

$$|f(t, y, z, \theta_r, \phi_{\bar{r}}) - f(t, y', z', \theta'_r, \phi'_{\bar{r}})|^2 \leq c(|y-y'|^2 + |z-z'|^2 + E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[|\theta_r - \theta'_r|^2 + |\phi_{\bar{r}} - \phi'_{\bar{r}}|^2]).$$

(**H2**) $E[\int_0^T |f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0)|^2 ds] < +\infty$.

(**H3**) There exist constants $c > 0$, $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha_2 < \frac{1}{M}$, satisfying $0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M < 1$, such that for any $r, \bar{r} \in [t, T+K]$, (t, y, z, θ, ϕ), $(t, y', z', \theta', \phi') \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times d} \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$,

$$|g(t, y, z, \theta_r, \phi_{\bar{r}}) - g(t, y', z', \theta'_r, \phi'_{\bar{r}})|^2 \leq c(|y-y'|^2 + E^{\mathcal{F}_t}|\theta_r - \theta'_r|^2) + \alpha_1 |z-z'|^2 + \alpha_2 E^{\mathcal{F}_t}|\phi_{\bar{r}} - \phi'_{\bar{r}}|^2.$$

(**H4**) $E[\int_0^T |g(s, y, z, \theta, \phi)|^2 ds] < +\infty$, for any (y, z, θ, ϕ).

3 Existence and uniqueness theorem

In this section, we will mainly study the existence and uniqueness of the solution to anticipated BDSDE (2). For this purpose, we first consider a simple case when the coefficients f and g do not depend on the value or the future value of (Y, Z):

$$Y_t = \xi_T + \int_t^T f(s) ds + \int_t^T g(s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{3}$$

where $f \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $g \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m\times l})$ and $\xi_T \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_T; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Given $\xi_T \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_T; \mathbb{R}^m)$, BDSDE (3) has a unique solution $(Y, Z) \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$.*

Proof. To prove the existence, we define a filtration by

$$\mathcal{H}_t := \mathcal{F}^W_{0,t} \vee \mathcal{F}^B_{0,T+K}, \ t \in [0, T+K]$$

and a $\mathcal{H}_t$-square integrable martingale

$$M_t := E^{\mathcal{H}_t}[\xi_T + \int_0^T f(s) ds + \int_0^T g(s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s], \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Thanks to Itô's martingale representation theorem, there exists a process $Z \in L^2_{\mathcal{H}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$ such that

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

which implies

$$M_t = M_T - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Hence

$$E^{\mathcal{H}_t}[\xi_T + \int_0^T f(s)ds + \int_0^T g(s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s] = \xi_T + \int_0^T f(s)ds + \int_0^T g(s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s.$$

Subtract $\int_0^t f(s)ds + \int_0^t g(s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s$ from both sides, then we have

$$Y_t = \xi_T + \int_t^T f(s)ds + \int_t^T g(s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s,$$

where

$$Y_t := E^{\mathcal{H}_t}[\xi_T + \int_t^T f(s)ds + \int_t^T g(s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s].$$

Next we show that (Y, Z) are in fact $\mathcal{G}_t$-adapted. In fact, it is obvious that

$$Y_t = E[\Theta | \mathcal{G}_t \vee \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^B],$$

where $\Theta := \xi_T + \int_t^T f(s)ds + \int_t^T g(s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s$ is $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T+K}^B$ measurable. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{0,t}^B$ is independent of $\mathcal{G}_t \vee \sigma(\Theta)$, then we know

$$Y_t = E[\Theta | \mathcal{G}_t].$$

Now

$$\int_t^T Z_s dW_s = \xi_T + \int_t^T f(s)ds + \int_t^T g(s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - Y_t,$$

and the right side is $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T+K}^B$ measurable. Then from Itô's martingale representation theorem, $(Z_s)_{s\in[t,T]}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{0,s}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T+K}^B$ adapted, which implies Z_s is $\mathcal{F}_{0,s}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T+K}^B$ measurable for any $t \leq s$. Thus Z_s is $\mathcal{F}_{0,s}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{s,T+K}^B$ measurable.

To show the uniqueness. We suppose that $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$ is the difference of two solutions. Then

$$\bar{Y}_t + \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s = 0, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Hence

$$E|\bar{Y}_t|^2 + E\int_t^T |\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds = 0,$$

which implies $\bar{Y}_t \equiv 0,$ *a.s.* and $\bar{Z}_t \equiv 0$ *a.s.*, *a.e.*. □

Now we establish the main result of this part.

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that* (a1)*-*(a2) *and* (H1)*-*(H4) *hold. Then for given* $(\xi, \eta) \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(T, T+K; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(T, T+K; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$*, the anticipated BDSDE (2) has a unique solution* $(Y, Z) \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$.

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the space of $(Y, Z) \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$ such that $(Y_t, Z_t)_{t\in[T,T+K]} = (\xi_t, \eta_t)_{t\in[T,T+K]}$. Given $(y, z) \in \mathcal{S}$, we consider the following equation:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -dY_t &=& f(t, y_t, z_t, y_{t+\delta(t)}, z_{t+\zeta(t)})dt & \\ && +g(t, y_t, z_t, y_{t+\delta(t)}, z_{t+\zeta(t)})d\overleftarrow{B}_t - Z_t dW_t, & t \in [0, T]; \\ Y_t &=& \xi_t, & t \in [T, T+K]; \\ Z_t &=& \eta_t, & t \in [T, T+K]. \end{array}\right. \tag{4}$$

It is obvious that the above equation is equivalent to the BDSDE

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -d\tilde{Y}_t &=& f(t, y_t, z_t, y_{t+\delta(t)}, z_{t+\zeta(t)})dt & \\ && +g(t, y_t, z_t, y_{t+\delta(t)}, z_{t+\zeta(t)})d\overleftarrow{B}_t - \tilde{Z}_t dW_t, & t \in [0, T]; \\ \tilde{Y}_T &=& \xi_T \in \mathcal{G}_T, & \end{array}\right.$$

which admits a unique solution in the space $S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$ according to Theorem 3.1. Thus BDSDE (4) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{S}$. Define a mapping I from $\mathcal{S}$ into itself by $(Y, Z) = I(y, z)$, then (Y, Z) is the unique solution of BDSDE (4).

Let (y', z') be another element of $\mathcal{S}$, and $(Y', Z') = I(y', z')$. We make the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} =& y - y',\ \bar{z} = z - z',\ \bar{Y} = Y - Y',\ \bar{Z} = Z - Z', \\ \bar{f}_t =& f(t, y_t, z_t, y_{t+\delta(t)}, z_{t+\zeta(t)}) - f(t, y'_t, z'_t, y'_{t+\delta(t)}, z'_{t+\zeta(t)}). \end{aligned}$$

For any $\beta > 0$, apply Itô's formula to $e^{\beta t}|\bar{Y}_t|^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\beta t}|\bar{Y}_t|^2 + \textstyle\int_t^T e^{\beta s}[\beta|\bar{Y}_s|^2 + |\bar{Z}_s|^2]ds \\ & = 2\textstyle\int_t^T e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\bar{f}_s ds + \int_t^T e^{\beta s}|\bar{g}_s|^2 ds + 2\int_t^T e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\bar{g}_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - 2\int_t^T e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\bar{Z}_s dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Take mathematical expectation on both sides, then we have

$$e^{\beta t}E|\bar{Y}_t|^2 + E\int_t^T e^{\beta s}[\beta|\bar{Y}_s|^2 + |\bar{Z}_s|^2]ds = 2E\int_t^T e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\bar{f}_s ds + E\int_t^T e^{\beta s}|\bar{g}_s|^2 ds.$$

Hence from $(A1)$, $(A2)$ and the inequality $2ab \leq \lambda a^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} b^2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\beta t} E|\bar{Y}_t|^2 + E\int_t^T e^{\beta s}[\beta|\bar{Y}_s|^2 + |\bar{Z}_s|^2]ds \\
& \leq E\int_t^T e^{\beta s}[\lambda|\bar{Y}_s|^2 + \tfrac{1}{\lambda}|\bar{f}_s|^2]ds + E\int_t^T e^{\beta s}|\bar{g}_s|^2 ds \\
& \leq E\int_t^T e^{\beta s}[\lambda|\bar{Y}_s|^2 + (\tfrac{c}{\lambda}+c)(|\bar{y}_s|^2 + |\bar{y}_{s+\delta(s)}|^2) + (\tfrac{c}{\lambda}+\alpha_1)|\bar{z}_s|^2 + (\tfrac{c}{\lambda}+\alpha_2)|\bar{z}_{s+\zeta(s)}|^2]ds \\
& \leq E\int_t^{T+K} e^{\beta s}[\lambda|\bar{Y}_s|^2 + (\tfrac{c}{\lambda}+c)(1+M)|\bar{y}_s|^2 + (\tfrac{c}{\lambda}(1+M)+\alpha_1+\alpha_2 M)|\bar{z}_s|^2]ds,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\int_t^{T+K} e^{\beta s}[(\beta-\lambda)|\bar{Y}_s|^2 + |\bar{Z}_s|^2]ds \\
& \leq E\int_t^{T+K} e^{\beta s}[(\tfrac{c}{\lambda}+c)(1+M)|\bar{y}_s|^2 + (\tfrac{c}{\lambda}(1+M)+\alpha_1+\alpha_2 M)|\bar{z}_s|^2]ds \\
& = (\tfrac{c}{\lambda}(1+M)+\alpha_1+\alpha_2 M)E\int_t^{T+K} e^{\beta s}[\tfrac{c(1+\lambda)(1+M)}{c(1+M)+\lambda(\alpha_1+\alpha_2 M)}|\bar{y}_s|^2 + |\bar{z}_s|^2]ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence if we choose $\lambda = \lambda_0$ satisfying $\bar{c} := \frac{c}{\lambda_0}(1+M)+\alpha_1+\alpha_2 M < 1$, choose $\beta = \lambda_0 + \frac{c(1+\lambda_0)(1+M)}{c(1+M)+\lambda_0(\alpha_1+\alpha_2 M)}$, and denote $\gamma := \frac{c(1+\lambda_0)(1+M)}{c(1+M)+\lambda_0(\alpha_1+\alpha_2 M)}$, then we deduce

$$E\int_t^{T+K} e^{\beta s}[\gamma|\bar{Y}_s|^2 + |\bar{Z}_s|^2]ds \leq \bar{c}E\int_t^{T+K} e^{\beta s}[\gamma|\bar{y}_s|^2 + |\bar{z}_s|^2]ds.$$

Thus I is a strict contraction on $\mathcal{S}$ and it has a unique fixed point $(Y,Z) \in \mathcal{S}$. Now due to Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, it is easy to check that $Y \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0,T+K;\mathbb{R}^m)$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 3.1 *In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we use the norm*

$$|(Y,Z)|_{(\beta,\gamma)} \equiv \{E\int_0^{T+K} e^{\beta_s}(\gamma|Y_s|^2 + |Z_s|^2)ds\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is very convenient for us to establish a strict contraction mapping. In fact, it is obvious that this new norm is equivalent to both norms $|(Y,Z)|_{(\beta,1)}$ and $|(Y,Z)|_{(0,1)}$, and the latter is just the general norm defined on the space $L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0,T+K;\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0,T+K;\mathbb{R}^{m\times d})$.

4 Comparison theorem

In this part, we are concerned with the following 1-dimensional anticipated BDSDEs:

$$
\left\{
\begin{array}{rcll}
-dY_t^j & = & f^j(t,Y_t^j,Z_t^j,Y_{t+\delta(t)}^j,Z_{t+\zeta(t)}^j)dt + g(t,Y_t^j,Z_t^j)d\overleftarrow{B}_t - Z_t^j dW_t, & t\in[0,T]; \\
Y_t^j & = & \xi_t^j, & t\in[T,T+K], \\
Z_t^j & = & \eta_t^j, & t\in[T,T+K],
\end{array}
\right.
\tag{5}
$$

where $j = 1, 2$, and $(a1)$-$(a2)$, $(H1)$-$(H4)$ hold. Then by Theorem 3.2, (5) has a unique solution.

Our objective is to obtain a comparison result for these two equations. For this purpose, we first consider a simple case when the coefficients f^j and g do not depend on the future value of (Y^j, Z^j):

$$Y_t^j = \xi_T^j + \int_t^T f^j(s, Y_s^j, Z_s^j)ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^j, Z_s^j)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s^j dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{6}$$

Theorem 4.1 *Let* $(Y^j, Z^j) \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ *be the unique solutions to BDSDEs (6) respectively. If* $\xi_T^1 \geq \xi_T^2$, *a.s., and for any* $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $f^1(t, y, z) \geq f^2(t, y, z)$, *a.s., then* $Y_t^1 \geq Y_t^2$, *a.s., for all* $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Denote

$$\bar{Y}_t := Y_t^2 - Y_t^1, \quad \bar{Z}_t := Z_t^2 - Z_t^1, \quad \bar{\xi}_T := \xi_T^2 - \xi_T^1,$$

then $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$ satisfies

$$\bar{Y}_t = \bar{\xi}_T + \int_t^T [f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]ds + \int_t^T [g(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - g(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s.$$

Applying Itô's formula to $|\bar{Y}_t^+|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{Y}_t^+|^2 \quad = \quad & |\bar{\xi}_T^+|^2 + 2\int_t^T \bar{Y}_s^+[f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]ds \\ & +2\int_t^T \bar{Y}_s^+[g(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - g(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]d\overleftarrow{B}_s - 2\int_t^T \bar{Y}_s^+ \bar{Z}_s dW_s \\ & -\int_t^T 1_{\{Y_s^2 > Y_s^1\}}|\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds + \int_t^T 1_{\{Y_s^2 > Y_s^1\}}|g(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - g(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides and noting that $\xi_T^1 \geq \xi_T^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E|\bar{Y}_t^+|^2 + E - \int_t^T 1_{\{Y_s^2 > Y_s^1\}}|\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds \quad = \quad & 2E\int_t^T \bar{Y}_s^+[f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]ds \\ & +E\int_t^T 1_{\{Y_s^2 > Y_s^1\}}|g(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - g(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

While,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2E\int_t^T \bar{Y}_s^+[f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]ds \\ & = 2E\int_t^T \bar{Y}_s^+[f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f^1(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) + f^1(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)]ds \\ & \leq 2E\int_t^T \bar{Y}_s^+|f^1(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)|ds \leq 2\sqrt{c}E\int_t^T \bar{Y}_s^+[|\bar{Y}_s| + |\bar{Z}_s|]ds \\ & \leq (2\sqrt{c} + \tfrac{c}{1-\alpha_1})E\int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s^+|^2 ds + (1-\alpha_1)\int_t^T 1_{\{Y_s^2 > Y_s^1\}}|\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E\int_t^T 1_{\{Y_s^2>Y_s^1\}}|g(s,Y_s^2,Z_s^2)-g(s,Y_s^1,Z_s^1)|^2ds$$

$$\leq E\int_t^T 1_{\{Y_s^2>Y_s^1\}}[c|\bar{Y}_s|^2+\alpha_1|\bar{Z}_s|^2]ds$$

$$\leq cE\int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s^+|^2ds+\alpha_1\int_t^T 1_{\{Y_s^2>Y_s^1\}}|\bar{Z}_s|^2ds.$$

Then, thanks to the above inequalities, we obtain

$$E|\bar{Y}_t^+|^2 \leq (c+2\sqrt{c}+\frac{c}{1-\alpha_1})E\int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s^+|^2ds,$$

which implies

$$E|\bar{Y}_t^+|^2=0, \quad \text{for all } t\in[0,T].$$

Therefore $Y_t^1 \geq Y_t^2$, *a.s.*, for all $t\in[0,T]$. □

From now on, we consider the anticipated BDSDEs (5). We give the following result. For the proof, the reader is referred to [15].

Proposition 4.1 *Putting $t_0=T$, we define by iteration*

$$t_i := \min\{t\in[0,T]:\min\{s+\delta(s),\ s+\zeta(s)\}\geq t_{i-1},\ \text{for all } s\in[t,T]\}, \quad i\geq 1.$$

Set $N:=\max\{i:t_{i-1}>0\}$. Then N is finite, $t_N=0$ and

$$[0,T]=[0,t_{N-1}]\cup[t_{N-1},t_{N-2}]\cup\cdots\cup[t_2,t_1]\cup[t_1,T].$$

Proposition 4.2 *For $j=1,2$, suppose that (Y^j,Z^j) is the unique solution to the anticipated BDSDE (5). Then for fixed $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,N\}$, over time interval $[t_i,t_{i-1}]$, (5) is equivalent to*

$$\begin{cases} -d\bar{Y}_t^j &= f^j(t,\bar{Y}_t^j,\bar{Z}_t^j,\bar{Y}_{t+\delta(t)}^j,\bar{Z}_{t+\zeta(t)}^j)dt+g(t,\bar{Y}_t^j,\bar{Z}_t^j)d\overleftarrow{B}_t-\bar{Z}_t^jdW_t, & t\in[t_i,t_{i-1}];\\ \bar{Y}_t^j &= Y_t^j, & t\in[t_{i-1},T+K],\\ \bar{Z}_t^j &= Z_t^j, & t\in[t_{i-1},T+K], \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

which is also equivalent to the following BDSDE with terminal condition $Y_{t_{i-1}}^j$:

$$\tilde{Y}_t^j=Y_{t_{i-1}}^j+\int_t^{t_{i-1}} f^j(s,\tilde{Y}_s^j,\tilde{Z}_s^j,Y_{s+\delta(s)}^j,Z_{s+\zeta(s)}^j)ds+\int_t^{t_{i-1}} g(s,\tilde{Y}_s^j,\tilde{Z}_s^j)d\overleftarrow{B}_s-\int_t^{t_{i-1}} \tilde{Z}_s^jdW_s. \tag{8}$$

That is to say,

$$Y_t^j=\bar{Y}_t^j=\tilde{Y}_t^j,\ Z_t^j=\bar{Z}_t^j=\tilde{Z}_t^j=\frac{d\langle\tilde{Y}^j,W\rangle_t}{dt},\ t\in[t_i,t_{i-1}],\ j=1,2.,$$

where $\langle\tilde{Y}^j,W\rangle$ is the variation process generated by $\tilde{Y}^j$ and the Brownian motion W.

The main result of this part is

Theorem 4.2 *Let* $(Y^j, Z^j) \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^d)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ *be the unique solutions to anticipated BDSDEs (5) respectively. If*

(i) $\xi^1_s \geq \xi^2_s, s \in [T, T+K], a.e., a.s.$;

(ii) for all $t \in [0, T]$, $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\theta^j \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R})$ $(j = 1, 2)$ *such that* $\theta^1 \geq \theta^2$, $\{\theta^j_r\}_{r \in [t,T]}$ *is a continuous semimartingale and* $(\theta^j_r)_{r\in[T,T+K]} = (\xi^j_r)_{r\in[T,T+K]}$,

$$f^1(t, y, z, \theta^1_{t+\delta(t)}, \eta^1_{t+\zeta(t)}) \geq f^2(t, y, z, \theta^2_{t+\delta(t)}, \eta^2_{t+\zeta(t)}), \quad a.e., a.s., \tag{9}$$

$$f^1(t, y, z, \theta^1_{t+\delta(t)}, \frac{d\langle\theta^1, W\rangle_r}{dr}|_{r=t+\zeta(t)}) \geq f^2(t, y, z, \theta^2_{t+\delta(t)}, \frac{d\langle\theta^2, W\rangle_r}{dr}|_{r=t+\zeta(t)}), \quad a.e., a.s., \tag{10}$$

$$f^1(t, y, z, \xi^1_{t+\delta(t)}, \frac{d\langle\theta^1, W\rangle_r}{dr}|_{r=t+\zeta(t)}) \geq f^2(t, y, z, \xi^2_{t+\delta(t)}, \frac{d\langle\theta^2, W\rangle_r}{dr}|_{r=t+\zeta(t)}), \quad a.e., a.s., \tag{11}$$

then $Y^1_t \geq Y^2_t, a.e., a.s.$.

Proof. Consider the anticipated BDSDE (5) one time interval by one time interval. For the first step, we consider the case when $t \in [t_1, T]$. According to Proposition 4.2, we can equivalently consider

$$\tilde{Y}^j_t = \xi^j_T + \int_t^T f^j(s, \tilde{Y}^j_s, \tilde{Z}^j_s, \xi^j_{s+\delta(s)}, \eta^j_{s+\zeta(s)})ds + \int_t^T g(s, \tilde{Y}^j_s, \tilde{Z}^j_s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}^j_s dW_s,$$

from which we have

$$Z^j_t = \tilde{Z}^j_t = \frac{d\langle\tilde{Y}^j, W\rangle_t}{dt}, \quad t \in [t_1, T]. \tag{12}$$

Noticing that $\xi^j \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(T, T+K; \mathbb{R})$ $(j = 1, 2)$ and $\xi^1 \geq \xi^2$, from (9) in (ii), we can get, for $s \in [t_1, T]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f^1(s, y, z, \xi^1_{s+\delta(s)}, \eta^1_{s+\zeta(s)}) \geq f^2(s, y, z, \xi^2_{s+\delta(s)}, \eta^2_{s+\zeta(s)}).$$

According to Theorem 4.1, we can get

$$\tilde{Y}^1_t \geq \tilde{Y}^2_t, \quad t \in [t_1, T], \quad a.e., a.s.,$$

which implies

$$Y^{(1)}_t \geq Y^{(2)}_t, \quad t \in [t_1, T+K], \quad a.e., a.s.. \tag{13}$$

For the second step, we consider the case when $t \in [t_2, t_1]$. Similarly, according to Proposition 4.2, we can consider the following BSDE equivalently:

$$\tilde{\tilde{Y}}_t^j = Y_{t_1}^j + \int_t^{t_1} f^j(s, \tilde{\tilde{Y}}_s^j, \tilde{\tilde{Z}}_s^j, Y^j_{s+\delta(s)}, Z^j_{s+\zeta(s)})ds + \int_t^{t_1} g(s, \tilde{\tilde{Y}}_s^j, \tilde{\tilde{Z}}_s^j)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^{t_1} \tilde{\tilde{Z}}_s^j dW_s,$$

from which we have $Z_t^j = \tilde{\tilde{Z}}_t^j = \frac{d\langle \tilde{\tilde{Y}}^j, W\rangle_t}{dt}$ for $t \in [t_2, t_1]$. Noticing (12) and (13), according to (ii), we have, for $s \in [t_2, t_1]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f^1(s, y, z, Y^1_{s+\delta(s)}, Z^1_{s+\zeta(s)}) \geq f^2(s, y, z, Y^2_{s+\delta(s)}, Z^2_{s+\zeta(s)}).$$

Applying Theorem 4.1 again, we can finally get

$$Y_t^1 \geq Y_t^2, \quad t \in [t_2, t_1], \quad a.e., a.s..$$

Similarly to the above steps, we can give the proofs for the other cases when $t \in [t_3, t_2]$, $[t_4, t_3]$, $\cdots$, $[t_N, t_{N-1}]$. □

Example 4.1 *Now suppose that we are facing with the following two ABDSDEs:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -dY_t^1 & = & E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[Y^1_{t+\delta(t)} + \sin(2Y^1_{t+\delta(t)}) + |Z^1_{t+\zeta(t)}| + 2]dt & \\ & & +[Y_t^1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|Z_t^1|]d\overleftarrow{B}_t - Z_t^1 dW_t, & t \in [0, T]; \\ Y_t^1 & = & \xi_t^1, & t \in [T, T+K], \\ Z_t^1 & = & \eta_t^1, & t \in [T, T+K], \end{array}\right.$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcll} -dY_t^2 & = & E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[Y^2_{t+\delta(t)} + 2|\cos Y^2_{t+\delta(t)}| + \sin Z^2_{t+\zeta(t)} - 2]dt & \\ & & +[Y_t^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|Z_t^2|]d\overleftarrow{B}_t - Z_t^2 dW_t, & t \in [0, T]; \\ Y_t^2 & = & \xi_t^2, & t \in [T, T+K], \\ Z_t^2 & = & \eta_t^2, & t \in [T, T+K], \end{array}\right.$$

where $\xi_t^{(1)} \geq \xi_t^{(2)}, t \in [T, T+K]$.

It is obvious that

$$x + \sin(2x) + |u| + 2 \geq y + 2|\cos y| + \sin v - 2, \ for\ all\ x \geq y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which implies (9)-(11), then according to Theorem 4.2, we get $Y_t^1 \geq Y_t^2$, *a.e., a.s..*

Remark 4.1 *By the same way, for the case when $\delta = \zeta$, (9)-(11) can be replaced by (10) together with*

$$f^1(t,y,z,\xi^1_{t+\delta(t)},\eta^1_{t+\zeta(t)}) \geq f^2(t,y,z,\xi^2_{t+\delta(t)},\eta^2_{t+\zeta(t)}), \quad a.e., a.s..$$

For a special case when f^1 and f^2 are independent of the anticipated term Z, we easily get the following comparison result.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $(Y^j, Z^j) \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T+K;\mathbb{R}) \times L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(0, T+K;\mathbb{R}^d)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ be the unique solutions to the following ABDSDEs respectively:*

$$\begin{cases} -dY^j_t &= f^j(t, Y^j_t, Z^j_t, Y^j_{t+\delta(t)})dt + g(t, Y^j_t, Z^j_t)d\overleftarrow{B}_t - Z^j_t dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T]; \\ \quad Y^j_t &= \xi^j_t, \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

If

(i) $\xi^1_s \geq \xi^2_s, s \in [T, T+K], a.e., a.s.$;

(ii) for all $t \in [0, T]$, $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\theta^j \in S^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K;\mathbb{R})$ $(j = 1, 2)$ such that $\theta^1 \geq \theta^2$ and $(\theta^j_r)_{r\in[T,T+K]} = (\xi^j_r)_{r\in[T,T+K]}$,

$$f^1(t, y, z, \theta^1_{t+\delta(t)}) \geq f^2(t, y, z, \theta^2_{t+\delta(t)}), \quad a.e., a.s., \tag{14}$$

then $Y^1_t \geq Y^2_t, a.e., a.s.$.

Remark 4.2 *The coefficients f^1 and f^2 will satisfy (14), if for any $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K;\mathbb{R})$, $r \in [t, T+K]$, $f^1(t, y, z, \theta_r) \geq f^2(t, y, z, \theta_r)$, together with one of the following:*

(i) for any $(t, y, z) \in [0, T]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$, $f^1(t, y, z, \cdot)$ is increasing, i.e., $f^1(t, y, z, \theta_r) \geq f^1(t, y, z, \theta'_r)$, if $\theta \geq \theta'$, $\theta, \theta' \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K;\mathbb{R})$, $r \in [t, T+K]$;

(ii) for any $(t, y, z) \in [0, T]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$, $f^2(t, y, z, \cdot)$ is increasing, i.e., $f^2(t, y, z, \theta_r) \geq f^2(t, y, z, \theta'_r)$, if $\theta \geq \theta'$, $\theta, \theta' \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K;\mathbb{R})$, $r \in [t, T+K]$.

Remark 4.3 *The coefficients f^1 and f^2 will satisfy (14), if*

$$f^1(t, y, z, \theta_r) \geq \tilde{f}(t, y, z, \theta_r) \geq f^2(t, y, z, \theta_r),$$

for any $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $\theta \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K;\mathbb{R}), r \in [t, T+K]$. Here the function $\tilde{f}(t, y, z, \cdot)$ is increasing, for any $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., $\tilde{f}(t, y, z, \theta_r) \geq \tilde{f}(t, y, z, \theta'_r)$, if $\theta_r \geq \theta'_r$, $\theta, \theta' \in L^2_{\mathcal{G}}(t, T+K;\mathbb{R}), r \in [t, T+K]$.

Example 4.2 *The following three functions satisfy the conditions in Remark 4.3: $f^1(t, y, z, \theta_r) = E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\theta_r - \sin(2\theta_r) + 2]$, $\tilde{f}(t, y, z, \theta_r) = E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\theta_r + \cos\theta_r]$, $f^2(t, y, z, \theta_r) = E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\theta_r + 2\cos\theta_r - 1]$.*

5 Conclusion and future work

In this paper, we have established the existence/uniqueness theorem and the comparison theorem for the anticipated BDSDEs. Based on these results, my forthcoming paper will investigate the duality between the delayed forward SDEs and the anticipated BDSDEs, which will then be applied to solve the related stochastic control problems. For the future work, I will pay more attention to the applications of such equations.

References

[1] V. Bally, A. Matoussi, Weak solutions of SPDEs and backward doubly stochastic differential equations, J. Theoret. Probab. 14 (2001) 125-164.

[2] G. Barles, R. Buckdahn, E. Pardoux, Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations, Stochastics and Stochastics Reports 60 (1997) 57-83.

[3] R. Buckdahn, M. Quincampoix, A. Răşcanu, Viability property for a backward stochastic differential equation and applications to partial differential equations, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 116 (2000) 485-504.

[4] N. El Karoui, E. Pardoux, M. C. Quenez, Reflected backward SDEs and American options, in: Numerical Methods in Finance, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 215-231, 1997.

[5] N. El Karoui, S.Peng, M.C.Quenez, Backward stochastic differential equations in finance, Math. Finance 7 (1997) 1–71.

[6] S. Hamadène, J. P. Lepeltier, Zero-sum stochastic differential games and backward equations, Systems Control Lett. 24 (1995) 259-263.

[7] Q. Lin, A generalized existence theorem of backward doubly stochastic differential equations, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 26 (2010) 1525-1534.

[8] A. Matoussi, M. Scheutzow, Stochastic PDEs driven by nonlinear noise and backward doubly SDEs, J Theoret. Proba. 15 (2002) 1-39.

[9] D. Nualart, E. Pardoux, Stochastic calculus with anticipating integrands, Proba. Theory Relat. Fields 78 (1988) 535-581.

[10] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation, Systems Control Lett. 14 (1990) 55–61.

[11] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations, in: Stochastic partial differential equations and their applications, Lect. Notes Control Inf. Sci. 176 (1992) 200–217.

[12] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasilinear SPDEs, Probab. Theory Related Fields 98 (1994) 209-227.

[13] S. Peng, Z. Yang, Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations, Ann. Probab. 37 (2009) 877–902.

[14] Y. Shi, Y. Gu, K. Liu, Comparison theorems of backward doubly stochastic differential equations and applications, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 23 (2005) 97-110.

[15] X. Xu, Necessary and sufficient condtition for the comparison theorem of multidimensional anticipated backward stochastic differential equations, Sci. China Math. 54 (2011) 301-310.

[16] Z. Yang, Anticipated BSDEs and related results in SDEs, Doctoral Dissertation Jinan: Shandong University, 2007.